Audacious - Bug #587

since 3.7, double size has become extremely large

November 12, 2015 00:47 - il lumilore

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>Closed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority:</td>
<td>Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignee:</td>
<td>plugins/skins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category:</td>
<td>plugins/skins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target version:</td>
<td>3.7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affects version:</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description

since 3.7, when clicking double size in winamp skin, the size of the player becomes more than double size and takes up about 1/6th of the screen. Is it possible to make an option where the user selects what the size is? Triple the original size might be too large.

History

#1 - November 12, 2015 18:12 - Thomas Lange
I cannot reproduce this. For me the main window size becomes 550x232 from the original 275x116. Which window manager do you use?

#2 - November 13, 2015 00:57 - il lumilore
kde 5.4 on opensuse

#3 - November 13, 2015 04:11 - John Lindgren
- Category set to plugins/skins

It's intended to adjust based on the screen resolution. But maybe this will be undesired for most people. I'm open to backing out the change.

#4 - November 13, 2015 23:33 - il lumilore
Maybe a preference toggle to select whether it goes to double or triple original size if double isn't big enough for some people?

#5 - November 14, 2015 05:56 - John Lindgren
- Status changed from New to Closed
- Target version set to 3.7.1
- % Done changed from 0 to 100

I've changed the logic to the following (as explained by the comment in the code):

// The current scaling implementation allows any integer scale factor, but
// the legacy "double size" config option is limited to two choices. For
// now, the two options are floor(DPI/96) and floor(DPI/96)+1. On screens
// up to 191 DPI, this gives the traditional 1x and 2x scale factors.

Then for higher resolution screens you would have:
192-287 DPI: 2x or 3x
288-383 DPI: 3x or 4x
... and so on.

(And obviously still 1x or 2x for screens under 96 DPI, if those exist).

In the long run, we could add a dial that allows any scale factor to be selected, but I think this is sufficient for now. At any rate, it will fix your specific problem of "double size" being triple size instead, which probably no one wants.