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Description
I find the results in the Search Tool very hard to read (see screenshot).

Perhaps this could be improved by some appropriate formatting, say bold for album names. Or maybe even a different color, suitably chosen. Alternatively you could include a small icon indicating album right next to an album, and another indicating artist next to artist, etc.

Bottom line is that I like Audacious but have a hard time with the Search Tool which I use extensively.

History
#1 - February 18, 2017 19:52 - John Lindgren
- Tracker changed from Bug to Feature

#2 - February 18, 2017 19:53 - John Lindgren
Yes, this is something I hope to improve in the Qt UI eventually.

#3 - February 18, 2017 21:06 - John Lindgren
- File search-tool.png added

Actually this was easier than I thought. Screenshot:

search-tool.png

#4 - February 18, 2017 21:07 - John Lindgren
- Category set to plugins/search tool
- Status changed from New to Closed
- Target version set to 3.9
- % Done changed from 0 to 100

#5 - February 19, 2017 18:39 - Liviu Andronic
Looks great, thanks!

Do you think it would be difficult to add horizontal separators (i.e. lines) between "categories". For instance, a horizontal line after "MUSICAL", another after 'Mumford & Sons', another after '5 songs by Handel, George'. In short, some sort of logical separation between the categories...

#6 - February 20, 2017 04:42 - John Lindgren
Separators would also be nice, but a little harder to implement.
#7 - February 20, 2017 09:07 - Liviu Andronic
Should I open a new report for this?

#8 - February 20, 2017 14:41 - John Lindgren
If you like, but I don’t think it will get implemented any time soon.
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