Audacious - OLD, PLEASE USE GITHUB DISCUSSIONS/ISSUES - Bug #974

Audacious 4.0.2 crashes after comiling from source on Ubuntu 19.10

April 21, 2020 22:04 - Torbjörn Stabo

Status:	Rejected	Start date:	April 21, 2020
Priority:	Minor	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Affects version:	4.0.2		

Description

OS: Ubuntu 19.10
Audacious version: 4.0.2

I downloaded source archives for the current stable release(4.0.2) from https://audacious-media-player.org/download. Compiling didn't work straight out of the box, but after installing packages configure and make worked. No extra flags, just

```
$> ./configure
$> make
$> sudo make install
```

..for both audacious and audacious-plugins. However, when I try to run audacious afterwards I get this:

```
$> audacious --version
  Audacious 4.0.2 (unknown build)
$> audacious
  ERROR plugin-load.cc:70 [plugin_load]: /usr/local/lib/audacious/Input/ffaudio.so could not be lo
aded: /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libhogweed.so.4: undefined symbol: nettle_cnd_memcpy, version NETT
LE_6
  audacious: Relink `/usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libcdio_cdda.so.2' with `/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/librt.so.1' for IFUNC symbol `clock_gettime'
  Segmenteringsfel (minnesutskrift skapad)
```

I recently updated Ubuntu from 19.04 to 19.10. Before that I was using the Ubuntu package, but after the update it started crashing as well. So I was hoping it would help changing to a newer version of audacious, even though it meant compiling it by hand. But I guess life's not that easy..

History

#1 - April 22, 2020 00:34 - John Lindgren

- Status changed from New to Rejected

> /usr/local/lib/audacious/Input/ffaudio.so could not be loaded: /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libhogweed.so.4: undefined symbol: nettle_cnd_memcpy, version NETTLE_6

I have no idea what this is; we don't link to libhogweed. Your ~~build~~ whole system is botched somehow.

This is not a bug. Use the packages provided by Ubuntu.

Edit: You may want to just reinstall Ubuntu. You have more problems here than Audacious.